

FILED

FEB 13 2020

THOMAS G. PRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

PATRICK J. DOHERTY

)

) No.

)

) Violations: 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 and

JUDGE GUZMAN 1952(a)(3)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE FUENTES

COUNT ONE

The SPECIAL JANUARY 2020 GRAND JURY charges:

1. At times material to this indictment:

a. Company A was a Chicago-area company that provided red-light cameras that enabled municipalities to enforce certain traffic violations and issue traffic-violation tickets.

b. Defendant PATRICK J. DOHERTY was a sales agent for Company A. DOHERTY was also a manager of Company D, which also served as a sales agent for Company A.

c. Individual A had an ownership interest in Company A.

d. Individual B was a sales agent for Company A.

e. The Village of Oak Lawn was a unit of local government located in the Northern District of Illinois. The governing body of the Village of Oak Lawn was known as the Board of Trustees.

f. Trustee 1 was an elected member of the Board of Trustees.

g. Relative 1 was a member of Trustee 1's immediate family.

h. On or about February 25, 2014, the Board of Trustees approved a contract for Company A to provide red-light cameras to the Village of Oak Lawn at specified intersections. The contract was scheduled to expire in or around 2018 without additional approval from the Board of Trustees. Pursuant to this contract, Company A periodically sent Oak Lawn officials video segments of proposed traffic violations captured by Company A's red-light cameras, and Oak Lawn officials subsequently decided which violations to approve. Company A obtained a portion of the proceeds generated from the approved-and-paid-for violations, and, in turn, Company A paid a portion of those proceeds to sales agents responsible for obtaining contracts for Company A to provide red-light-camera services to municipalities. The installation of additional red-light cameras at intersections not specified in the contract between Oak Lawn and Company A required approval from the Board of Trustees.

2. From no later than in or around May 2017 and continuing until in or around August 2017, at Oak Lawn, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

PATRICK J. DOHERTY,

defendant herein, knowingly conspired and agreed with Individual A and Individual B to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to use and cause to be used a facility in interstate commerce with the intent to promote, manage, and carry on, and to facilitate the promotion, management, and carrying on of an unlawful activity, namely, bribery in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33-1(a) and (b), and thereafter to perform

and attempt to perform an act of promotion, management, and carrying on, and facilitation of the promotion, management, and carrying on of the unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3).

**Manner and Means of the Conspiracy**

3. It was part of the conspiracy that DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B agreed to pay money to Trustee 1's family member, Relative 1, in order to influence Trustee 1 to use his official position to cause the installation by Company A of red-light cameras at additional intersections within Oak Lawn.

4. It was further part of the conspiracy that DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B agreed to make payments to Relative 1 totaling approximately \$4,000 over an eight-week period.

5. It was further part of the conspiracy that, in order to conceal the purpose of the payments made to Relative 1, as well as Company A's interest in the payments, DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B agreed that the payments to Relative 1 would be made by DOHERTY from Company D.

6. It was further part of the conspiracy that, at around the same time as the conspirators sought Trustee 1's assistance with the installation by Company A of red-light cameras at additional intersections within Oak Lawn, Individual B told Trustee 1 of the plan to pay Relative 1 \$500 a week over a two-month period.

7. It was further part of the conspiracy that DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B communicated about and coordinated their efforts to bribe Trustee 1



through payments made to Relative 1 by using facilities of interstate commerce, namely, cellular telephones and their associated communications networks.

8. It was further part of the conspiracy that DOHERTY caused a \$500 check to be issued by Company D, made payable to the order of Relative 1.

9. It was further part of the conspiracy that DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B concealed, misrepresented, and hid and caused to be concealed, misrepresented, and hidden, the existence and purpose of the conspiracy and the acts done in furtherance of the conspiracy.

#### Overt Acts

10. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its unlawful objectives, DOHERTY, Individual A, and Individual B committed and caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of Illinois and elsewhere:

a. On or about May 23, 2017, Individual B used a cellular telephone to tell Trustee 1 of the plan to pay Relative 1 \$500 a week over a two-month period.

b. On or about May 25, 2017, DOHERTY used a cellular telephone to tell Individual B that DOHERTY would make payments to Relative 1 "if it's going to get us the job," and later added, "I'll just pay it. Just make sure we get the, make sure we get the fucking thing, the contract."

c. On or about May 30, 2017, DOHERTY used a cellular telephone to tell Individual A that DOHERTY was going to pay Relative 1 "just on the chance of, uh, that we can get the other ones in Oak Lawn and get [Trustee 1] on our side."

d. On or about May 30, 2017, DOHERTY used a cellular telephone to tell Individual B that Relative 1 would “make \$4,000 over the summer, 500 bucks a week.”

e. On or about June 13, 2017, DOHERTY used a cellular telephone to tell Relative 1 that “it’s not like I need ya,” but that Relative 1 would nonetheless receive \$500 a week for eight weeks.

f. On or about June 15, 2017, DOHERTY caused a check in the amount of \$500 to be made by Company D, payable to the order of Relative 1.

g. On or about June 15, 2017, DOHERTY gave Relative 1 a \$500 check from Company D.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

COUNT TWO

THE SPECIAL JANUARY 2020 GRAND JURY further charges:

On or about June 13, 2017, at approximately 4:25 p.m., at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

PATRICK J. DOHERTY,

defendant herein, used and caused to be used a facility in interstate commerce, namely, a cellular telephone and an associated communication network, with intent to promote, manage, carry on, and facilitate the promotion, management, and carrying on of an unlawful activity, namely, bribery in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33-1(a) and (b), and thereafter the defendant did perform and attempt to perform an act to carry on and facilitate the promotion and carrying on of said unlawful activity;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3) and 2.

**COUNT THREE**

THE SPECIAL JANUARY 2020 GRAND JURY further charges:

On or about June 15, 2017, at approximately 11:02 a.m., at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

PATRICK J. DOHERTY,

defendant herein, used and caused to be used a facility in interstate commerce, namely, a cellular telephone and an associated communication network, with intent to promote, manage, carry on, and facilitate the promotion, management, and carrying on of an unlawful activity, namely, bribery in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33-1(a) and (b), and thereafter the defendant did perform and attempt to perform an act to carry on and facilitate the promotion and carrying on of said unlawful activity;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3) and 2.

A TRUE BILL:

\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY